Categorical Exclusion ID#: NV-2021-017

Proposed Action Title: Area 12 Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility (SCIF)

Program or Field Office: NNSA Nevada Field Office

Location(s) (City/County/State): Nevada National Security Site, Nye County, NV

Proposed Action Description
Up to two Sensitive Compartmented Information Facilities (SCIF) and an armored magazine (ARMAG) would be installed on an existing concrete slab adjacent to Mars Street (Attachment 1) in Area 12 Camp on the Nevada National Security Site. After placement of the SCIF and/or ARMAG, overhead and underground primary power and communications would be installed. A secondary power unit consisting of a backboard with meters and disconnects would also be installed.

A concrete landing would be constructed at the entry to the units and minor site improvements to include grading and vegetation removal would be conducted.

Currently, a 60,000-pound SCIF located on an adjacent slab near Logan Street does not meet U.S. Department of Energy specifications. This SCIF would be removed and returned to the vendor.

Categorical Exclusion(s) Applied
10 CFR 1021, Appendix B, B1.15 Support Buildings

Regulatory Requirements in 10 CFR 1021
For the complete DOE National Environmental Policy Act regulations regarding categorical exclusions, including the full text of each categorical exclusion, see Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021.

To find that a proposal is categorically excluded, DOE shall determine the following:
(1) The proposal fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D;
(2) There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
(3) The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. The proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.
The classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, include the following conditions as integral elements of the classes of actions. To fit within the classes of actions in Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

NEPA Compliance Officer Determination
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under NNSA NAP 451.1 and DOE P 451.1), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action and I have reviewed the proposal for integral elements. I have determined that there are no extraordinary circumstances present and that the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. Therefore, the application of a categorical exclusion is appropriate.

NEPA Compliance Officer:  Carrie Stewart                     Date Determined:  1/26/2021